
The Complete History of the World Cup



From 1930 to 2026

Author: Simon Mayer

PART I

The History of the World Cups



The Birth of an Idea

Football Before 1930: International Tournaments and Olympic Models

Before there was a “Football World Cup,” there was already something that felt like its precursor: nations played against one another, titles were awarded, countries celebrated victory, and football was beginning to establish itself as an international language. Yet the road to the World Cup was neither straightforward nor inevitable. It developed over decades through national associations, early internationals, regional championships, and above all through one tournament that for a long time was regarded as the highest standard of all: the Olympic football tournament.

To understand why the World Cup of 1930 became possible at all, one must first understand the pre-1930 football ecosystem: which competitions existed, what their limitations were, and why the calls for a dedicated global tournament grew louder and louder.

The First International Matches – When Nations “Invented” Football for Themselves

International football did not begin with a trophy, but with an idea: that teams should not only compete as clubs, but as nations. The most famous early reference point is the first official international match between England and Scotland in 1872. It was more than a game – it was a model: two national teams, an international audience, and a result charged with symbolic meaning.

Over the following decades, more associations were founded, rules became increasingly standardized, and football spread rapidly:

- in Europe, through trade, industry, railways, and the spread of the game by workers, students, and merchants;
- in South America, especially through port cities, British communities, and local enthusiasts;
- later also in Africa and Asia – at first only sporadically, then with growing organization.

And yet international matches remained irregular for a long time. They were often improvised and depended heavily on whether associations were

willing to cooperate with one another at all. What was missing was an overarching structure – and it was precisely this problem that FIFA would later help solve.

Why There Was No “World Championship” for So Long

Today it seems almost self-evident that the world’s most popular sport should have a global tournament. At the time, however, this was far from obvious. Three major obstacles stood in the way.

1. No central organization

Until the early twentieth century, international football was highly fragmented. Rules, refereeing standards, eligibility requirements, and the interests of the various associations often differed considerably.

2. Amateurism versus professionalism

In many countries – especially within the Olympic world – a strict amateur ideal prevailed: sport was supposed to remain “pure,” untouched by payment. At the same time, football in some regions was quickly becoming professional, or at least semi-professional. This tension would later become the single greatest point of conflict in Olympic football.

3. Travel, cost, and logistics

A true world championship was also expensive and difficult to organize. Intercontinental travel at the time meant long journeys by ship lasting days or even weeks. Teams had to make time, raise money, and arrange accommodation – all without modern infrastructure or a global marketing machine.

The result was clear: international football events existed, but there was still no format that truly brought together “the world.”

FIFA as the Essential Precondition for the World Cup

One key year is 1904, when FIFA was founded in Paris. Its basic idea was simple but transformative: to create an authority capable of coordinating international matches, harmonizing rules, and connecting national associations. Without FIFA, a World Cup would have been almost unthinkable from an organizational point of view.

It is important to note, however, that FIFA first had to establish its authority. In its early years, it was not automatically accepted as the supreme power in football, especially because the British associations – England,

willing to cooperate with one another at all. What was missing was an overarching structure – and it was precisely this problem that FIFA would later help solve.

Why There Was No “World Championship” for So Long

Today it seems almost self-evident that the world’s most popular sport should have a global tournament. At the time, however, this was far from obvious. Three major obstacles stood in the way.

1. No central organization

Until the early twentieth century, international football was highly fragmented. Rules, refereeing standards, eligibility requirements, and the interests of the various associations often differed considerably.

2. Amateurism versus professionalism

In many countries – especially within the Olympic world – a strict amateur ideal prevailed: sport was supposed to remain “pure,” untouched by payment. At the same time, football in some regions was quickly becoming professional, or at least semi-professional. This tension would later become the single greatest point of conflict in Olympic football.

3. Travel, cost, and logistics

A true world championship was also expensive and difficult to organize. Intercontinental travel at the time meant long journeys by ship lasting days or even weeks. Teams had to make time, raise money, and arrange accommodation – all without modern infrastructure or a global marketing machine.

The result was clear: international football events existed, but there was still no format that truly brought together “the world.”

FIFA as the Essential Precondition for the World Cup

One key year is 1904, when FIFA was founded in Paris. Its basic idea was simple but transformative: to create an authority capable of coordinating international matches, harmonizing rules, and connecting national associations. Without FIFA, a World Cup would have been almost unthinkable from an organizational point of view.

It is important to note, however, that FIFA first had to establish its authority. In its early years, it was not automatically accepted as the supreme power in football, especially because the British associations – England,